PB#96-28

PIETRZAK, ELAINE

4-1-33.1

approved 11/3/9

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR 555 Union Avenue General Receipt 15940
New Windsor, NY 12553
Received from Course Fietrzak \$ 50.
Outty and O DOLLAR
For Ply #96-28 Application Dela
DISTRIBUTION: FUND CODE AMOUNT BY DEATH HONSON
CR# 1737 50.
WILLIAMSON LAW BOOK CO., VICTOR, NY 14564
DATE OCTOBER 16, 1996 RECEIPT 96-28 RECEIVED FROM Eleval Bretzgat Address Z Steele Road Their Usundon, 17 1, 12553 Linux Vindon 900 DOLLARS \$300.00 FOR 2 Lat Subdivision Carbon (2 e 150.00 La) BEGINNING 300 00 CHECK #7321 BALANCE -0 - ORDER BY MELEUR, Mark the 18.
DATE October 23, 1997 RECEIPT 96-28 RECEIVED FROM Elaine Lank Pietroph Address 14 Steele Rd New Window, N.Y. 12553
Sisty-Seven 99/00 DOLLARS \$67.90 FOR addition to Ever ow
ACCOUNT HOW PAID BEGINNING 67 90 CASH AMOUNT 67 90 CHECK # 4076 BALANCE -0 - MONEY ORDER THE WAR THE STATE OF THE STATE
DATE October 23, 1997 RECEIPT NUMBER

WILLIAMSON LAW BOOK CO., VICTOR, NY 14564 DATE October 16, 1996 RECEIVED FROM Elacu Protessek

Address 7 Steele Road New Windson, 1) Y. 12553

Line Kundsed 900

DOLLARS \$ 300 DOLLARS \$ 300.00 FOR 2 Let subdivision Excrew (2 C +150.00 mm) BEGINNING 300 W CASH \\\ HAKK CHECK 300 00 RECEIPT 96-28 RECEIVED FROM Elaine Trank Pritital 14 Steele Rd. - New Windson, n. Y. - DOLLARS \$ 67.90 Escrow ACCOUNT HOW PAID BEGINNING BALANCE CASH 67 90 # 4076 AMOUNT PAID 67 90 CHECK BALANCE DATE October RECEIVED FROM Claire & Frank Pietrak Address H Steele Rd - New Usindson, N. Twe Hundred DOLLARS \$500.00 FOR One lot recreation ACCOUNT HOW PAID BEGINNING BALANCE 500 00 CASH AMOUNT PAID #4075 500 00 CHECK 6772433 TOLLARS \$ 26000 In orlin # 96-28 Board ACCOUNT HOW PAID COO Town BEGINNING BALANCE CASH 407 AMOUNT PAID CHECK 260 D MONEY BALANCE

Map Number <u>J50-91</u> City Section <u>4</u> Block <u>1</u> Lot <u>33 /</u> Village	96-28
Section Block Lot Village	1 rear Words 5
Title: <u>Piètrzak, Elaine</u>	
(12	okeet)
Dated: 5/15/96 Filed	11/5/97
Approved by <u>Edward C. Stent</u> Jr	-
on	
Record Owner Pietrzak Elaine	
	JOAN A. MACCHI Orange County Clerk

AS OF: 11/20/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD ACTIONS

SUBMIT

PAGE: 1

STAGE:

STATUS [Open, Withd] [Disap, Appr]

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28

05/01/96 WORK SESSION APPEARANCE

NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION

APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

DATE	MEETING-PURPOSE	ACTION-TAKEN
11/03/97	PLANS STAMPED	APPROVED
09/10/97	P.B. APPEARANCE	LA:ND WVE PH APPRD
08/27/97	P.B. APPEARANCE	NO SHOW- NEXT AGENDA
10/23/96	P.B. APPEARANCE	REFER TO ZBA
10/16/96	WORK SESSION APPEARANCE	NEXT AGENDA

AS OF: 09/11/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD SEQRA ACTIONS

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28

NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

	DATE-SENT	ACTION	DATE-RECD	RESPONSE
ORIG	10/16/96	EAF SUBMITTED	10/16/96	WITH APPLICATION
ORIG	10/16/96	CIRCULATE TO INVOLVED AGENCIES	. / /	
ORIG	10/16/96	LEAD AGENCY DECLARED	09/10/97	TOOK LEAD AGENCY
ORIG	10/16/96	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION	/ /	
ORIG	10/16/96	DECLARATION (POS/NEG)	09/10/97	DECL. NEG. DEC.
ORIG	09/10/97	PUBLIC HEARING	09/10/97	WAIVED PUB. HEARING

PAGE: 1

10/21/97 Callie left massage to call me -

SUBDIVISION FEES - TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR

MINOR SUBDIVISION FEES:
APPLICATION FEE\$ 50.00
ESCROW: RESIDENTIAL: LOTS @ 150.00 (FIRST 4 LOTS)\$ LOTS @ 75.00 (ANY OVER 4 LOTS)\$ COMMERCIAL: LOTS @ 400.00 (FIRST 4 LOTS)\$ LOTS @ 200.00 (ANY OVER 4 LOTS)\$ TOTAL ESCROW DUE\$ 300.00
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
APPROVAL FEES MINOR SUBDIVISION:
PRE-PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL\$ PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL\$ 100.00 FINAL PLAT APPROVAL (\$100.00 + \$5.00/LOT)\$ FINAL PLAT SECTION FEE\$ BULK LAND TRANSFER. (\$100.00)\$
TOTAL SUBDIVISION APPROVAL FEES\$ 260.00
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
RECREATION FEES:
THE FOLLOWING CHARGES ARE TO BE DEDUCTED FROM ESCROW:
PLANNING BOARD ENGINEER FEES. \$\\\ \alpha\) \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PERFORMANCE BOND AMOUNT
4% OF ABOVE AMOUNT\$
ESTIMATE OF PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS: \$
2% OF APPROVED COST ESTIMATE:\$

PAGE: 1

AS OF: 10/24/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD FEES **ESCROW**

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28

NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

DATE	DESCRIPTION	TRANS	AMT-CHG -	-AMT-PAID	BAL-DUE
10/16/96	REC. CK. #1738	PAID		300.00	
10/23/96	P.B. ATTY. FEE	CHG	35.00		
10/23/96	P.B. MINUTES	CHG	13.50		
08/27/97	P.B. MINUTES	CHG	4.50		
09/10/97	P.B. ATTY. FEE	CHG	35.00		
09/10/97	P.B. MINUTES	CHG	18.00		,
10/20/97	P.B. ENGINEER FEE	CHG	261.90	÷ •	
10/21/97	REC.CK. #4076	PAID		67.90	
. *		тотат.	367.90	367.90	0.00

AS OF: 10/24/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD FEES APPROVAL

PAGE: 1

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28
NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION
APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

DATE	DESCRIPTION	TRANS	AMT-CHG -AMT-PAIDBAL-DUE
09/10/97	SUB. APPROVAL FEE	СНС	260.00
	REC. CK. #4074	PAID	260.00
		መረመልፒ. •	260 00 260 00 0 00

AS OF: 10/24/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD FEES RECREATION

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28

NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

DATE	DESCRIPTION	TRANS	AMT-CHG	-AMT-PAID	BAL-DUE
09/10/97	ONE LOT REC. FEE	CHG	500.00		
10/21/97	REC. CK. #4075	PAID	•	500.00	
-		TOTAL:	500.00	500.00	0.00

PAGE: 1

OCT-21-1997 16:48 MC GOEY, HAUSER&EDSALL

914 562 1413 P.05/06

CA 35 : :

GHRONOLOGICAL JOE STATUS REPORT

CULENT: NEWWEN - TOWN OF NEW KINDSOR

408: 67-56 MEW WINDSOF PLANNING BOARD (Chargeable to Applicant) TASK: 93- 93 FOR WORK DORE PRIOR TO: 10/20/97 TASK-NO REC -- CATE-- TRAN EMPL ACT DESCRIPTION------ RATE HRS. TIME EXP. BILLED BALANCE 36-28 95:43 05/01/86 TIME MUE WS PETRZAR TO.00 0.40 96-28 106:23 10:15/96 TIME MUE WS PIETRZAY SUBD TO.00 0.30 96-28 106:32 10:16/98 TIME MUE WS PIETRZAK SUBD TO.00 0.40 95-38 105425 10/23/98 TIME MCS CL PIETRZAK RVW COMM 25:00 0.50 36-28 95143 05/01/96 TIME MUE WS PETRIAN 28.00 21.00 12.50 96-28 105507 10/20/95 TIME MUE MM PRETRIAK DISAPP 28A 70.00 0.10 7.00 96-29 10613: 10,23,96 TIME MUE MC PIETRZAK 70,00 0.40 23.00 124,50 96-23 107217 10/31/93 SILL 98-792 11/13/96 PD -124.55 -------124.50 96-23 19899 12/04/93 TIME MUE MC PIETRIAK IBA REF 70.00 0.50 35.00 95-23 199384 12/18/98 TIME MUE MC PIETRIAK IBA REP 70.00 0.50 35.00 70.66 95-28 109958 12/31/95 EILL 97-124 1/13/97 -70,00 -------70.00 96-26 (29596 08:27/97 TIME MCK OL PIETRERK RYW COMM 28.00 0.60 14.00 96-28 13100 03/10/97 TIME MCK CL PIETRZAK RYW COHM 23.03 0.30 96-28 131871 09/10/97 TIME MJE NC PIETRZAK 75.00 0.50 3.40 96-28 131871 C9/10/97 TIME MUE MC PIETRZAK 75.00 0.50 96-28 131855 09/10/97 TIME MUE MM PIETRZAK SUB APPD 75.00 0.10 37.50 57.49 96-29 :34059 39/30/97 BILL 97-912 10/10/97 -67.40 -67,40 TASK 101AL 261.90 0.00 -261.90 6.00

> GRAND TOTAL



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. JAMES M. FARR, P.E. ☐ Main Office 45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W) New Windsor, New York 12553 (914) 562-8640

☐ Branch Office 507 Broad Street Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 (717) 296-2765

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

REVIEW NAME:

PIETRZAK MINOR SUBDIVISION

PROJECT LOCATION:

STEELE ROAD SECTION 4-BLOCK 1-LOT 33.1

PROJECT NUMBER:

96-28

DATE:

10 SEPTEMBER 1997

DESCRIPTION:

THE PROJECT INVOLVES THE MINOR SUBDIVISION OF

THE EXISTING 1.2 +/- ACRE PARCEL INTO TWO (2) LOTS. THE APPLICATION WAS PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED AT THE 23 OCTOBER 1996 AND 27 AUGUST 1997 PLANNING

BOARD MEETINGS.

1. This application was on the 27 August 1997 Planning Board Agenda but was not represented at the meeting. I had comments prepared for that meeting and a copy is attached hereto for review at this meeting.

Respectfully salbmitted.

Mark J. Edsall, P.E.

Planning Board Engineer

MJEmk

A:PIETR2.mk



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. JAMES M. FARR, P.E.

- ☐ Main Office 45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W) New Windsor, New York 12553 (914) 562-8640
- ☐ Branch Office 507 Broad Street Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 (717) 296-2765

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

REVIEW NAME:

PIETRZAK MINOR SUBDIVISION

PROJECT LOCATION:

STEEL ROAD

SECTION 4-BLOCK 1-LOT 33.1

PROJECT NUMBER:

96-28

DATE:

27 AUGUST 1997

DESCRIPTION:

THE PROJECT INVOLVES THE MINOR SUBDIVISION OF

THE EXISTING 1.2 +/- ACRE PARCEL INTO TWO (2) LOTS. THE PLAN WAS PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED AT THE

23 OCTOBER 1996 PLANNING BOARD MEETING.

- 1. At the October 1996 Planning Board meeting, the Planning Board reviewed this application and it was noted that several variances were required. The Applicant was referred to the ZBA. At this time, it is my understanding that the Applicant was successful in obtaining additional variances in connection with this proposed subdivision. These variances are indicated on the plan and a record of same should be in the Planning Board file.
- 2. Other than the need to obtain the appropriate variances, I am aware of no additional concerns regarding this application. It is my understanding that no new facilities or improvements are proposed. This should be confirmed with the Applicant at this meeting.

If the Board identifies any concerns with regard to this subdivision, I will be pleased to review same, as deemed necessary by the Board.

- 3. The Planning Board may wish to assume the position of **Lead Agency** under the SEQRA process.
- 4. The Planning Board should determine if a **Public Hearing** will be necessary for this **minor subdivision**, or if same can be waived per Paragraph 4.B of the Subdivision Regulations.

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS PAGE 2

REVIEW NAME:

PIETRZAK MINOR SUBDIVISION

PROJECT LOCATION:

STEEL ROAD SECTION 4-BLOCK 1-LOT 33.1

PROJECT NUMBER:

96-28

DATE:

27 AUGUST 1997

5. The Planning Board may wish to make a determination regarding the type action this project should be classified under SEQRA and make a determination regarding environmental significance.

6. At such time that the Planning Board has made further review of this application, further engineering reviews and comments will be made, as deemed necessary by the Board.

Respectfully submitted

Mark J. Edsall, P.E.

Planning Board Engineer

MJEmk

A:PIETR.mk

REGULAR ITEMS

PIETRZAK, ELAINE SUBDIVISION (96-28) STEELE ROAD

Mr. Frank Pietrzak appeared before the board for this proposal.

MR. PETRO: What do you want to do here?

MR. PIETRZAK: Get the okay from you, that is it, I went before the zoning board and they said okay.

MR. PETRO: This involves minor subdivision of the existing 1.2 acre parcel into two lots. The application was previously reviewed at the 23 October 1996 and 27 August 1997 planning board meetings. Evidently, we had some questions at that time. They must have been under review, let's see what Mark has to say here. Let's see, where is your existing lot as it stands now? Mark, maybe you can see us through that?

MR. EDSALL: It's an overall lot now with more than one use and they have gone to the ZBA to obtain variances such that they could create two lots rather than the one single lot with multiple uses. And my understanding is that they have gotten those variances.

MR. KRIEGER: That is correct.

MR. PETRO: Okay, still unclear though on the map where the lot lines are?

MR. EDSALL: The proposed lot line is the line that comes from roughly where the S is on Steele into the property and turns and runs over to the west.

MR. BABCOCK: Two story house lot, Jim, is a big L with a pool included in that lot.

MR. EDSALL: And then the two story garage becomes a rectangular shaped lot along Steele Road.

MR. LANDER: It was all one lot at one time now Frank, I see you have a well and two story garage, you have a well and then there's sewer out on Steele Road?

MR. PIETRZAK: Right.

MR. LANDER: And your house has a well also?

MR. PIETRZAK: Yes, it does.

MR. PETRO: Right by the deck.

MR. LUCAS: What do you want to do, just keep this as a

two story garage?

MR. LANDER: Whatever is going on now.

MR. PIETRZAK: Correct.

MR. LANDER: Yeah, it's pretty cut and dry.

MR. STENT: Did we take lead agency?

MR. PETRO: No.

MR. STENT: Make the motion we take lead agency on the Pietrzak subdivision.

MR. LANDER: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning Board take lead agency under the SEQRA process for the Pietrzak minor subdivision on Steele Road. Is there any further discussion from the board members? If not, roll call.

ROLL CALL

MR. STENT AYE
MR. LUCAS AYE
MR. LANDER AYE
MR. PETRO AYE

MR. PETRO: We have highway approval on 8/29/97 and fire approval on 8/26/97.

MR. LANDER: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that we waive public hearing.

MR. LUCAS: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning Board waive the public hearing for the Pietrzak minor subdivision on Steele Road. Is there any further discussion from the board members? If not, roll call.

ROLL CALL

MR.	STENT	AYE
MR.	LUCAS	AYE
MR.	LANDER	AYE
MR.	PETRO	AYE

MR. PETRO: I don't think there is any wetlands, you are up there pretty high.

MR. PIETRZAK: Oh, absolutely.

MR. LUCAS: Motion we grant final approval or negative dec.

MR. LANDER: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning Board declare negative dec for the Pietrzak minor subdivision under the SEQRA process. Any further discussion from the board members? If not, roll call.

ROLL CALL

MR.	STENT	AYE
MR.	LUCAS	AYE
MR.	LANDER	AYE
MR.	PETRO	AYE

MR. PETRO: We have seen this I think three times and it's basically just one or drawing two lines on the map.

MR. STENT: Motion we approve the Pietrzak minor subdivision.

MR. LANDER: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning Board grant final approval to the Pietrzak minor subdivision on Steele Road. Is there any further discussion from the board members? Mark, comments from the zoning board are on the map and proper variance is granted?

MR. EDSALL: I believe they are.

MR. LANDER: There's two on there.

MR. PETRO: Yeah, on the bottom I see here.

MR. ESALL: There's a note that notes a previous

variance as well.

MR. PETRO: Okay, roll call.

ROLL CALL

MR. STENT AYE
MR. LUCAS AYE
MR. LANDER AYE
MR. PETRO AYE

MR. PETRO: Thank you for coming in.

MR. EDSALL: We can have them add the dates that they got the variances before the final maps are signed.

RESULTS OF P.B. MEETING

DATE: September 10, 1997

PROJECT NAME: Project NUMBER 96-28

LEAD AGENCY: * NEGATIVE DEC:
M) 5 S) LU VOTE: A 4 N 0 * M) LU S) LW VOTE: A 4 N 0
CARRIED: YESNO * CARRIED: YES:NO
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
WAIVED: YES NO
SEND TO OR. CO. PLANNING: M) S) VOTE: A N YES NO
SEND TO DEPT. OF TRANSPORT: M)_S)_ VOTE:AN_YES_NO
DISAPP: REFER TO Z.E.A.: M)_S)_ VOTE:A N YESNO
RETURN TO WORK SHOP: YES NO
APPROVAL:
M) S S) LN VOTE: A H N () AFFROVED: 9/10/97
M)_S)_ VOTE: AN AFFR. CONDITIONALLY:
NEED NEW PLANS: YES NO
DISCUSSION/APPROVAL CONDITIONS:
Pay fees

PAGE: 1

AS OF: 09/10/97

LISTING OF PLANNING BOARD AGENCY APPROVALS

FOR PROJECT NUMBER: 96-28

NAME: PIETRZAK, ELAINE - SUBDIVISION APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE

	DATE-SENT	AGENCY	DATE-RECD	RESPONSE
REV1	08/08/97	MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY	08/29/97	APPROVED
REV1	08/08/97	MUNICIPAL WATER	08/26/97	APPROVED
REV1	08/08/97	MUNICIPAL SEWER	/ /	
REV1	08/08/97	MUNICIPAL FIRE	08/26/97	APPROVED
ORIG	10/16/96	MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY	10/18/96	APPROVED
ORIG	10/16/96	MUNICIPAL WATER	10/21/96	APPROVED
ORIG	10/16/96	MUNICIPAL SEWER	08/08/97	SUPERSEDED BY REV1
ORIG	10/16/96	MUNICIPAL FIRE	10/23/96	APPROVED

NEW WINDSOR ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS	4-1-33.1

In the Matter of the Application of

ELAINE PIETRZAK

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION GRANTING AREA VARIANCES

#97- 6	•
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	X

WHEREAS, ELAINE PIETRZAK, 14 (7) Steele Road, New Windsor, New York 12553, has made application before the Zoning Board of Appeals for area variances as follows: Lot #1: 2,368 s.f. lot area and 42.74 ft. lot width; and Lot #2: 24,912 s.f. lot area variance, 4.16 ft. lot width and 6.5 ft. maximum building height in order to subdivide commercial and residential parcel located at 14 (7) Steele Road in a PI zone; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 9th day of June, 1997 before the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town Hall, New Windsor, New York; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant appeared before the Board himself and with Daniel Yanosh, L.S. for this Application; and

WHEREAS, there were no spectators appearing at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, no one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the Application; and

WHEREAS, a decision was made by the Zoning Board of Appeals on the date of the public hearing granting the application; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of New Windsor sets forth the following findings in this matter here memorialized in furtherance of its previously made decision in this matter:

- 1. The notice of public hearing was duly sent to residents and businesses as prescribed by law and in The Sentinel, also as required by law.
  - 2. The evidence presented by the Applicant showed that:
- (a) The property is located in a commercial (PI) zone in a neighborhood of mixed commercial and residential properties.
- (b) The Applicant is seeking the variances in order to enable him to apply for subdivision approval so as to separate the commercial from residential uses.
  - (c) The residential use of the property appears to predate zoning.

- (d) So long as the property is a mixed commercial and residential use the Applicant is unable to obtain residential financing.
- (e) The residential use of the property predates zoning and the commercial use is an allowable use in that zone.
- (f) The property is adjacent to a factory, a law office and a broadcast facility, all commercial uses.
- (g) No buildings or additional structures are proposed for the property. The uses of the property are separated by a large elevation grade difference.
- (h) The properties located on the parcel, both commercial and residential, do not interfere with the sewage or septic service since the property is serviced by municipal sewage service and will not interfere with the water service to the property by well.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of New Windsor makes the following conclusions of law here memorialized in furtherance of its previously made decision in this matter:

- 1. The requested variances will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or create a detriment to nearby properties.
- 2. There is no other feasible method available to the Applicant which can produce the benefits sought.
- 3. The variances requested are substantial in relation to the Town regulations but nevertheless are warranted due to the peculiar nature of the property.
- 4. The requested variances will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or zoning district.
- 5. The difficulty the Applicant faces in conforming to the bulk regulations is self-created but nevertheless should be allowed because the variances would allow the Applicant to separate the permissible commercial use from the pre-existing residential use.
- 6. The benefit to the Applicant, if the requested variances are granted, outweigh the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community.
- 7. The requested variances are appropriate and are the minimum variances necessary and adequate to allow the Applicant relief from the requirements of the Zoning Local Law and at the same time preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community.
  - 8. The interests of justice will be served by allowing the granting of the requested area

variances.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED, that the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of New Windsor GRANT the following area variances: Lot #1: 2,368 s.f. lot area and 42.74 ft. lot width; and Lot #2: 24,912 s.f. lot area, 4.16 ft. lot width and 6.5 ft. maximum building height variances in order to subdivide a commercial and residential parcel located at 14 (7) Steele Road in a PI zone, as sought by the Applicant in accordance with plans filed with the Building Inspector and presented at the public hearing.

#### **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, that the Secretary of the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of New Windsor transmit a copy of this decision to the Town Clerk, Town Planning Board and Applicant.

Dated: July 14, 1997.

Chairman

#### REGULAR ITEMS:

### PIETRZAK, ELAINE SUBDIVISION (96-28) STEELE ROAD

MR. PETRO: Let the minutes show that the applicant is not here either.

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS Regular Session January 27, 1997

#### **REVISED AGENDA:**

7:30 p.m.- ROLL CALL

Motion to accept minutes of the 1/13/97 meeting as written.

#### PRELIMINARY MEETING:

NEED COPY OF DISAPPROVAL PACK FROM PAT

1. V.G.R. ASSOCS/POUGHKEEPSIE SAVINGS BANK - Request for variance for additional facade sign in variation of Sec. 48-18H(1)(b)[1] of the Supp. Sign Regulations, for bank located at Price Choppers Supermarket in Vails Gate in a C zone. (69-1-6).

2. PIETRZAK, FRANK - Referred by P.B. Request for: Lot #1: 2,368 s.f. lot area and  $\rho/H$  42.74 ft. lot width variances and Lot #2: 24,912 s.f. lot area, 4.16 ft. lot width and 6.5 ft. max. bldg. height variances for lot line change on property located at 7 Steele Rd. in a PI zone. (4-1-33.1).

7 UP 3. PIZZO, JOHN - Referred by P. B. for 27% developmental coverage to construct office  $\frac{P}{H}$  building on n/s Rt. 300 adjacent to J&H Smith Lighting in P.O. zone. Present: Paul V. Cuomo, P.E. (4-1-11.1)

= \( \superplant{P} 4. \text{ AF&F/CIANCIO-Request for use variance for a non-public school in a P.I. zone to be or located on Plympton Street (American Felt & Filter building). (14-3-2).

PUBLIC HEARING: 5. REDDINGS, MERRELL - Request for use variance to allow existing four-family residence at 16 Reddings Drive in an R-3 zone. (Two-family residences permitted.) (65-1-42.4).

6. COYMAN, EILEEN - Request for 18 ft. rear yard variance for existing deck at 408 Mt. Airy Road in R-3 zone. (65-1-4).

1 PPLOVET. L&M PROPERTIES, LLC - Referred by Planning Board for 0.5 ft. side yard and 16.2 ft. maximum building height to construct an addition to warehouse (Stewart Liner) located on Liner Road in a C zone. (4-1-5.1,5.2). Present: Bill Hildreth, L. S.

REORGANIZE: Election of Officers

PAT - 563-4630 (o) 562-7107 (h) ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS Regular Session June 9, 1997

#### **REVISED AGENDA:**

7:30 p.m. - ROLL CALL

Motion to accept minutes of the 4/28/97 meeting as written.

#### PRELIMINARY MEETING:

SET UP

1. REDDINGS, MERRELL - Request for four-family residence in R-3 zone at 16 Reddings Place (only two family allowed). (65-1-42.4). Present: Richard Schisano, Esq.

SET UP FOR P/H 2. CORCORAN, MIKE - Request for 6 in. sign height and 11 ft. sign width variance to replace Pat's Pizza Sign with Domino's Pizza at 420 Windsor Highway in a C zone. (69-2-10).

SET UP FOR P/H

3. THOMAS, JOAN - Request for use variance for existing bait and tackle shop in R-4 zone at 22 Hillview Drive. (58-3-1).

SET UP FOR PH

4. SURACI, JAMES - Request for 11.8 ft. rear yard variance to construct a  $14 \times 29$  ft. deck at 202 Butterhill Drive in a CL-1 zone. (80-4-1).

#### **PUBLIC HEARING:**

APPLOUES. PIETRZAK, ELAINE - Request for area variances as follows: Lot 1-2,368 sq. ft. lot area and 42.74 ft. lot width; and Lot 2 - 24,912 sq. ft. lot area, 4.16 ft. lot width, and 6.5 ft. maximum building height in order to subdivide commercial and residential parcel located at 14 Steele Road in a PI zone. (4-1-33.1).

APPLOUED 6. AF&F/CIANCIO - Request for use variance to allow non-public school in a PI zone at former American Felt building located on Plympton Street. (14-2-3.1).

Pat 563-4630 (o) 562-7107 (h)

#### PIETRZAK, FRANK

MR. NUGENT: Referred by Planning Board request for lot #1:2,368 s.f. lot area and 42.74 ft. lot width variances and Lot #2:24,912 s.f. lot area, 4.16 ft. lot width and 6.5 ft. maximum building height variances for lot line change on property located at 7 Steele Road in a PI zone.

Mr. Frank Pietrzak appeared before the board for this proposal.

MR. NUGENT: Tell us what you're trying to do here, explain it to us.

MR. PIETRZAK: What we're trying to do is my home is like right here, that is my house and right next door is where I used to have my shop and I had to give up my business, I'm renting this business. What we're trying to do is subdivide the commercial building from my home which is on the same parcel and just--

MR. NUGENT: The pool area and all this is going to be one lot?

MR. PIETRZAK: Exactly.

MR. NUGENT: This is going to be one lot?

MR. PIETRZAK: Yes.

MR. NUGENT: All the entrances are off Steele Road?

MR. PIETRZAK: That is correct. Now it's kind of, you know, if I can, the way this is situated, the area is small, but this is a very steep bank back here, so this pool area is elevated quite a bit so there's like a permanent barrier here with the cliff that separates this from that area and the same thing on this side, the house and the pool is elevated and it's down at a different level and if you go by on 207, you can probably notice from the road.

MR. NUGENT: That lot number 2 is 15,000 square feet?

January 2 1997

MR. PIETRZAK: I believe it is.

MR. TORLEY: Do you have a copy of the application?

MR. NUGENT: Yeah, sure, I want you to look at this, you need to look at the map.

MR. REIS: Frank, as it is now, you have separate entrances for each of the--

MR. PIETRZAK: Each of the parcels, yes, we do right off Steele Road, there is a driveway that separates the 2 parcels and the boundaries are such that with the cliff and everything, it doesn't permit anymore excavating or expansion for either one of them. But being that I am, it's a hardship now.

MR. NUGENT: Mike, that 42 foot, the lot width, is that because the house is so close to the property line and on what should be the right side of the drawing I'm looking at, yeah, 5.4.

MR. PIETRZAK: No, we're planning to expand this by buying a piece off Mr. Langanke, that is coming up before the planning board also. So we're going to extend that on this side to give that piece of property more of a side yard requirement. We have negotiated the sale.

MR. NUGENT: Then you won't need the variance if that is the case, I see what you're trying to do.

MR. BABCOCK: He needs 150 foot required lot width and lot 1 is 107 and lot 2 is 145 so he's under on both of them.

MR. NUGENT: Because it's a PI zone.

MR. BABCOCK: That is correct.

MR. REIS: Michael, what's the minimum lot square footage?

MR. BABCOCK: 40,000 square feet.

MR. NUGENT: He's almost there on lot 1.

MR. TORLEY: Now, the house as it's stated the planning board minutes the house was built in '64, so any use of it would be grandfathered.

MS. BARNHART: You say it's no longer a business?

MR. PIETRZAK: The change of the line that we're contemplating is right here, this is all cliffs and it comes this way, this is elevated also.

MR. TORLEY: You're talking with Mr. Langanke about purchasing some land there?

MR. PIETRZAK: We have already negotiated that with Mr. Langanke. That is another, obviously, it's going to be on this side so this will be extended here to give this yard the side yard requirement more space, can't do it on this side, this is all elevated from this area and this is elevated from this area.

MR. KANE: Mr. Chairman, I move we set up Mr. Frank Pietrzak for public hearing for his requested variances at 7 Steele Road.

MR. REIS: Second it.

ROLL CALL

MR. REIS AYE
MR. KANE AYE
MR. TORLEY AYE
MR. NUGENT AYE

MS. BARHNART: Okay, here's your paperwork.

MR. PIETRZAK: Okay.

MR. NUGENT: If you have anymore information that you are buying from Herb that would probably be very good to bring up at the hearing.

### RESULTS OF P.E. MEETING

DATE: August 27, 1997

PROJECT NAME: Pietrzak Sub	PROJECT NUMBER 96-28
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
LEAD AGENCY:	* NEGATIVE DEC:
M) S) VOTE: A N	* M) S) VOTE:AN
CARRIED: YES NO NO	* CARRIED: YES:NO
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
WAIVED: YES	NO
SEND TO OR. CO. PLANNING: M)_S)_	VOTE: A N YES NO
SEND TO DEPT. OF TRANSPORT: M)S)	)VOTE:ANYESNO
DISAPP: REFER TO Z.E.A.: M)_S)_	VOTE: A N YES NO
RETURN TO WORK SHOP: YES	NO
APPROVALį:	
M)_s)_ VOTE:AN_ AFFRO	OVED:
M)_S)_ VOTE:AN_ AFFR.	. CONDITIONALLY:
NEED NEW PLANS: YES NC_	
DISCUSSION/APPROVAL CONDITIONS:	
$\mathcal{I}$	no Show
	. ·.
:	

#### PIETRZAK, ELAINE SUBDIVISION (96-28) STEELE ROAD

Dan Yanosh and Mr. Pietrzak appeared before the board for this proposal.

MR. YANOSH: This is on Steele Road off 207, 52,719 square foot lot has existing two story house on it, one single family and also two story garage where DiAmico's Auto Body Shop is now located. Proposal is for two lot subdivision, we want to cut the house away from the Mr. Pietrzak has trouble getting refinancing for the house, his house, since the business is there. Also we he wants to cut the one from the other. going to need variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals for lot size, both of them are undersized, we need 40,000, we're going to need a variance for lot width on both lots. We have the property line between the two of them, would be right next to the paved parking lot at the bottom of the hill, we could make one conform but for looks and aesthetics, we'll ask for both of them. The existing two story house was built how long ago?

MR. PIETRZAK: 1964.

MR. YANOSH: '64, again, it was built, the offset to the side yard is 5.14 feet, we'll go for that variance also at that time.

MR. PETRO: That is already existing, obviously you're not creating that one.

MR. YANOSH: It's existing also. We already did get a variance for the front yard of the two story garage of 22.29 feet, that was granted back in 1983, May 31st.

MR. PETRO: This is, this sits up on the hill?

MR. YANOSH: Correct.

MR. PETRO: All the side yards that need variances you couldn't make different configuration that would lower the amount of variances you need?

MR. YANOSH: No, the one you could change possibly an

OFFICE OF THE PLANNING BOARD - TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR ORANGE COUNTY, NY # 2 20A 6-9-APPLICATION NOTICE OF DISAPPROVAL OF SITE PLAN OR SUBDIVISION APPLICATION PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 36-28  PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 36-28  APPLICANT: PIETRZAK ELAINE 7 STELLE RD.  NEW WINDSOR NY 1253  PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************	,			41-2	BA 1-27-
ORANGE COUNTY, NY # 2 28A 6-9-APPLOXED  NOTICE OF DISAPPROVAL OF SITE PLAN OR SUBDIVISION APPLICATION  PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96-28  DATE: Y DEC  APPLICANT: PIETR ZAK ELAINE  7 STELLE RD.  NEW WINDSOR NY /2553  PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************		$\bigcirc$	1	SET	UP FOR P.
PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96-28  APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE  7 STEELE RD.  NEW WINDSOR NY /2553  PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************		ORAN	GE COUNTY, NY	#22	WINDSOR BA 6-9-9 PPLOVED
APPLICANT: PIETRZAK, ELAINE  7 STELE RD.  NEW WINDSOR NY 12/53  PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ************************  LOCATED AT 7 STEELE RD PIPLOX 2/50 FT EAST  OF RI. 707 ZONE PI  DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	NOTICE OF	DISAPPROVAL OF SIT	E PLAN OR SUE	BDIVISION'	APPLICATION
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ************************  LOCATED AT 7 STEELE RA PIPPLOX 250 FT EAST  OF RI. 707 ZONE PI  DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	PLANNING B	DARD FILE NUMBER:_	96-28	D	ATE: <u>Y <i>DEC</i> '</u>
T STELLE RD.  NEW WINDSOR NY 12553  PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - **********************  LOCATED AT 7 STEELE RD PIPPLOX 250 FT EAST  OF RT. 707 ZONE PI  DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	APPLICANT:	PIETRZAK, ELA	INE		
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************	•				
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96  FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************	•	NEW WINDSOR /	EZZ61 Y.V		
FOR (SUBDIVISION - ***********************************	•		·		
LOCATED AT 7 STEELE RA PPPLOX 250 FT EAST  OF RT. 707  DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	PLEASE TAK	NOTICE THAT YOUR	APPLICATION	DATED /	OCT'96
DF RT. 707 ZONE PI  DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	FOR (SUBDI	vision - <b>Xide Xera</b> n	5		
DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33	LOCATED AT	7 STEELE RA	MPPLOX	DI TAOZE	AST
	OF R	T. 707		ZONE	I
	DESCRIPTIO	N OF EXISTING SITE	: SEC: <b>Y</b> BI	LOCK: /	LOT: 33.
IS DISAPPROVED ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:				destruction that was a second or sec	
IS DISAPPROVED ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:					
IS DISAPPROVED ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:					
IS DISAPPROVED ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:					

MYCHAEL BABCOCK,

REQUIREMENTS		PROPOSE AVAILA		VARI REQU	ANCE EST
ZONE PI USE	***	LOTI	LOT 2	L071	LOTZ
MIN. LOT AREA	40 000 SF	37632	15088	1368	24912
MIN. LOT WIDTH	150 CF	107.26	145.84	42.74	4.16
REQ'D FRONT YD	50 LF	53.26	22.29 <b>**</b>		**
REQ'D SIDE YD.	15 FT	5.14*	43.68	*	
REQ'D TOTAL SIDE YD.	40 FT	58,52	99.56		_
REQ'D REAR YD.	10 FT	103.34	21		
REQ'D FRONTAGE	<u> </u>				
MAX. BLDG. HT. 6"ft F	$\tau = 2.57   10.50$	17.0	17.0	*	6.5
FLOOR AREA RATIO	0.6	,04	0.14		
MIN. LIVABLE AREA	<u> </u>	N/A	MA		_
DEV. COVERAGE	<u>~/A</u> %				
o/s parking spaces * PRE-EXISTING NOW	-CONFORMING CO	VAITION	** PREVIO	US VARI	- IANCE

** PRE-EXISTING NON-CONFORMING CONDITION ** PREVIOUS VARIAND APPLICANT IS TO PLEASE CONTACT THE ZONING BOARD SECRETARY AT: (914-563-4630) TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS.

XXX MINIMUM BULK WALVES FOR ZONE SHOWN- PRE-EXISTING NON-CONF. USE. CC: Z.B.A., APPLICANT, P.B. ENGINEER, P.B. FILE

area for one of them and maybe a lot width, this one existing house is 37,632 square feet, in order to make that conform, I have to add another 2,400 square feet which would get into the parking lot, if I did that, or moved it here, the rear yard and the garage has to be 20 feet, I made it 21. So I'm tight that way.

MR. PETRO: I'm sure you did your homework. What you're saying this is the best configuration.

MR. YANOSH: Again, frontage for this lot here I'm short only by five feet, 4.5 feet, I could come into that lawn a little bit but then again, I make the sizes different but then again, it's making one worse to make the other one conform, it's not going to really matter too much in that case.

MR. PETRO: I'll poll the members, if this application should happen to receive the variances that are required, is there anyone that has a problem with the subdivision?

MR. DUBALDI: No, I think we should give a positive recommendation to the zoning board.

MR. LANDER: So moved.

MR. DUBALDI: You're improving the situation.

MR. YANOSH: He will keep his parking lot and the rest of it that way.

MR. PETRO: Is there any other comments? If not, I'll take a motion.

MR. DUBALDI: I make a motion we approve the Pietrzak minor subdivision on Steele Road.

MR. STENT: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning board approve the Pietrzak subdivision on Steele Road. Is there any further discussion from the board members? If not, roll call.

#### ROLL CALL

MR.	DUBALDI	NO
MR.	STENT	NO
MR.	LUCAS	NO
MR.	LANDER	NO
MR.	PETRO	NO

MR. PETRO: You have now been referred to the New Windsor Zoning Board for the necessary variances. If you are successful in receiving them, we well review it again at this board. Thank you.

OFFICE OF THE PLANNING BOARD - TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR ORANGE COUNTY, NY

NOTICE OF DISAPPROVAL OF SITE PLAN OR SUBDIVISION APPLICATION
PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96-28  APPLICANT: PIETR ZAK ELAINE
7 STEELE RD. NEW WINDSOR NY 12553
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT YOUR APPLICATION DATED 16 OCT 96
for (subdivision - **Trexesto*)
LOCATED AT 7 STEELE RA APPROX 250 FT EAST
DF RT. 207 ZONE PI
DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SITE: SEC: Y BLOCK: / LOT: 33./
IS DISAPPROVED ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:

MARIA BABCOCK,

## BUILDING INSPECTOR

**************************************					
REQUIREMENTS		PROPOS AVAIL		VARI REQU	ANCE EST
ZONE P.I USE	***	LOTI	LOTZ	L071	LOTZ
MIN. LOT AREA	40 000 SF	37632	15088	1368	24912
MIN. LOT WIDTH	150 LF	107.26	145.84	42.74	4.16
REQ'D FRONT YD	50 LF	53.26	22.29 <b>**</b>		**
REQ'D SIDE YD.	15 FT	5.14*	43,68	*	
REQ'D TOTAL SIDE YD. REQ'D REAR YD.	40 FT 20 FT	58.52 103.34	99.56	_	
REQ'D FRONTAGE	M/A				
MAX. BLDG. HT. 6"/GA	$=\tau = 2.57   10.50$	17.0	17.0	*	6.5
FLOOR AREA RATIO	0.6	,04	0.14		
MIN. LIVABLE AREA	MA	N/A	MA		
DEV. COVERAGE	<u>~/4</u> %				9
O/S PARKING SPACES  *** PRE-EXISTING NOT APPLICANT IS TO PLEA (914-563-4630) TO MA	SE CONTACT THE	ZONING E		ETARY	

OF APPEALS.

**X MWINUM BULK VALUES FOR ZOVE SHOWN— PRE-EXISTING NON-CONF. USE.

CC: Z.B.A., APPLICANT, P.B. ENGINEER, P.B. FILE

### PIETRZAK, ELAINE SUBDIVISION (96-28) STEELE ROAD

Dan Yanosh and Mr. Pietrzak appeared before the board for this proposal.

MR. YANOSH: This is on Steele Road off 207, 52,719 square foot lot has existing two story house on it, one single family and also two story garage where DiAmico's Auto Body Shop is now located. Proposal is for two lot subdivision, we want to cut the house away from the Mr. Pietrzak has trouble getting refinancing for the house, his house, since the business is there. Also we he wants to cut the one from the other. going to need variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals for lot size, both of them are undersized, we need 40,000, we're going to need a variance for lot width on both lots. We have the property line between the two of them, would be right next to the paved parking lot at the bottom of the hill, we could make one conform but for looks and aesthetics, we'll ask for both of them. The existing two story house was built how long ago?

MR. PIETRZAK: 1964.

MR. YANOSH: '64, again, it was built, the offset to the side yard is 5.14 feet, we'll go for that variance also at that time.

MR. PETRO: That is already existing, obviously you're not creating that one.

MR. YANOSH: It's existing also. We already did get a variance for the front yard of the two story garage of 22.29 feet, that was granted back in 1983, May 31st.

MR. PETRO: This is, this sits up on the hill?

MR. YANOSH: Correct.

MR. PETRO: All the side yards that need variances you couldn't make different configuration that would lower the amount of variances you need?

MR. YANOSH: No, the one you could change possibly an

area for one of them and maybe a lot width, this one existing house is 37,632 square feet, in order to make that conform, I have to add another 2,400 square feet which would get into the parking lot, if I did that, or moved it here, the rear yard and the garage has to be 20 feet, I made it 21. So I'm tight that way.

MR. PETRO: I'm sure you did your homework. What you're saying this is the best configuration.

MR. YANOSH: Again, frontage for this lot here I'm short only by five feet, 4.5 feet, I could come into that lawn a little bit but then again, I make the sizes different but then again, it's making one worse to make the other one conform, it's not going to really matter too much in that case.

MR. PETRO: I'll poll the members, if this application should happen to receive the variances that are required, is there anyone that has a problem with the subdivision?

MR. DUBALDI: No, I think we should give a positive recommendation to the zoning board.

MR. LANDER: So moved.

MR. DUBALDI: You're improving the situation.

MR. YANOSH: He will keep his parking lot and the rest of it that way.

MR. PETRO: Is there any other comments? If not, I'll take a motion.

MR. DUBALDI: I make a motion we approve the Pietrzak minor subdivision on Steele Road.

MR. STENT: Second it.

MR. PETRO: Motion has been made and seconded that the New Windsor Planning board approve the Pietrzak subdivision on Steele Road. Is there any further discussion from the board members? If not, roll call.

### ROLL CALL

DUBALDI	ИО
STENT	NO
LUCAS	NO
LANDER	NO
PETRO	NO
	STENT LUCAS LANDER

MR. PETRO: You have now been referred to the New Windsor Zoning Board for the necessary variances. If you are successful in receiving them, we well review it again at this board. Thank you.



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. JAMES M. FARR, P.E.

- ☐ Main Office 45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W) New Windsor, New York 12553 (914) 562-8640
- ☐ Branch Office 507 Broad Street Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 (717) 296-2765

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

**REVIEW NAME:** 

PIETRZAK MINOR SUBDIVISION

PROJECT LOCATION:

STEELE ROAD

____

SECTION 4-BLOCK 1-LOT 33.1

**PROJECT NUMBER:** 

96-28

DATE:

23 OCTOBER 1996

**DESCRIPTION:** 

THE PROJECT INVOLVES THE MINOR SUBDIVISION OF THE EXISTING 1.2 +/- ACRE PARCEL INTO TWO (2) LOTS. THE PLAN WAS REVIEWED ON A CONCEPT BASIS ONLY.

1. Currently, the property includes an existing two-story commercial garage and the existing two-story residential house. It is the Applicant's desire to separate the commercial from the residential and this minor subdivision plan proposes a method for doing same.

The Applicant's Surveyor has provided a complete bulk table on the bottom of the plan, which provides the existing and proposed data, and identifies previous variances granted, pre-existing non-conforming conditions, and those items where new variances will be required.

It would appear that both uses may be pre-existing non-conforming uses, for which there may be no specific minimum bulk requirements. As such, I will leave the determination as to what variances are required (and to what extent) to the Attorney and ZBA.

2. Once the Applicant receives whatever variances are required and they return to the Planning Board for subdivision approval, further reviews will be made, as deemed necessary by the Planning Board.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark J. Edsarll, P.E.

Planning Board Engineer

MJEmk A:PIETR.mk

### RESULTS OF P.B. MEETING

DATE: 10-23-96 PROJECT NAME: Project NUMBER 96-28 * NEGATIVE DEC: LEAD AGENCY: M)____ S)__ VOTE:A___ N____ * M)___ S)__ VOTE:A___ N___ CARRIED: YES NO * CARRIED: YES: NO PUBLIC HEARING: M)__ S)__ VOTE:A____N__ WAIVED: YES_____ NO____ SEND TO OR. CO. PLANNING: M) S) VOTE: A N YES NO SEND TO DEPT. OF TRANSPORT: M)_S)__ VOTE:A__N__ YES__NO__ DISAPP: REFER TO Z.E.A.: M) DS)S VOTE: A 5 N O YES V NO RETURN TO WORK SHOP: YES_____ NO____ APPROVAL: M)_S)_ VOTE: A _ N _ APPROVED: M)_S)_ VOTE: A___N_ APPR. CONDITIONALLY:____ NEED NEW PLANS: YES NO____ DISCUSSION/APPROVAL CONDITIONS:



# TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR

555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553

### NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW FORM

PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:  MYRA MASON, SECRETARY FOR THE PLANNING BOARD  PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96-28	RECEIVED AUG 2 5 1997 N.W. HIGHWAY DEP
DATE PLAN RECEIVED: RECEIVED AUG 8 1997  The maps and plans for the Site Approval	
Subdivisionas subdivision for the building or subdiv	
	has been
	has been
lisapproved	has been
	has been

# **MEMO**

To: Town Planning Board

From: Town Fire Inspector

Subject: Pietrzak Subdivision

Date: 26 August 1997

Planning Board Reference Number: PB-96-28

Dated: 8 August 1997

Fire Prevention Reference Number: FPS-97-044

A review of the above referenced subject subdivision plan was conducted on 22 August 1997.

This subdivision plan is acceptable.

Plans Dated: 11 June 1997 Revision 2

Robert F. Rødgers; C.C.A

# TOWN OF NEW WINDOR

### 555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553

### NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW FORM

•
TO: FIRE INSPECTOR, D.O.T., WATER, SEWER, HIGHWAY
PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
MYRA MASON, SECRETARY FOR THE PLANNING BOARD
PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96 - 28
DATE PLAN RECEIVED: RECEIVED AUG 8 1997
The maps and plans for the Site Approval
Subdivision as submitted by
for the building or subdivision of
Elaine Pietrzak - Steele Rd. has been
reviewed by me and is approved
disapproved
I <u>f disapp</u> roved, pl <u>eafe list r</u> eason
Town water does not reach as for as
Steele od.
FIGHWAY SUPERINTENDENT DATE
Stanis. 8,0- AMO- 8-21-9
WATER SUPERINTENDENT DATE

# 1763

# TOWN OF NEW WINIOR

555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553

# RECEIVED

OCT 1 6 1996

N.W. HIGHWAY DEPT.

# NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW FORM

TO: FIRE INSPECTOR, D.O.T., WATE	R, SEWER, CHANGE
PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:	
MYRA MASON, SECRETARY FOR THE PLA	NNING BOARD
PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER:	
The maps and plans for the Site A	approval
Subdivision /	as submitted by
for the h	ouilding or subdivision of
	has been
reviewed by me and is approved	<u> </u>
disapproved	,
If disapproved, please list	
	A COMPANY SUPERINTENDENT DATE
	WATER SUPERINTENDENT DATE

# **MEMO**

To: Town Planning Board

From: Town Fire Inspector

Subject: Pietrzak Subdivision

Date: 23 October 1996

Planning Board Reference Number: PB-96-28

Dated: 16 October 1996

Fire Prevention Reference Number: FPS-96-048

A review of the above referenced subject subdivision plan was conducted on 21 October 1996.

This subdivision plan is acceptable.

Plans Dated: 15 May 1996.

RFR/dh

# TOWN OF NEW WINDOR

### 555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553

# NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW FORM

TO: FIRE INSPECTOR, D.O.T., SEWER, HIGHWAY
PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
MYRA MASON, SECRETARY FOR THE PLANNING BOARD
PLANNING BOARD FILE NUMBER: 96-28
DATE PLAN RECEIVED: RECEIVED OCT 1 6 1996
The maps and plans for the Site Approval
Subdivisionas submitted by
for the building or subdivision of
reviewed by me and is approved,
<del>disapprov</del> ed .
If disapproved, please list reason
Notify water lept. For water locations
HIGHWAY SUPERINTENDENT DATE
Drue Did.o. CAmu- 10.3
WATER SUPERINTENDENT DATE



4MJE91 pbwsform

RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E.

	Main Office
	45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W)
	New Windsor, New York 12553
	(914) 562-8640

☐ Branch Office 400 Broad Street Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 (717) 296-2765

### PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION RECORD OF APPEARANCE

TOWN/VILLAGE OF 101 Wine 150/ P/B # 96 - 28
WORK SESSION DATE: 16. Oct 96 APPLICANT RESUB.
REAPPEARANCE AT W/S REQUESTED: Not Now REQUIRED: Foll Age
PROJECT NAME: Pickrak Sudir
PROJECT STATUS: NEWOLD
REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT: Mrs. Picturale (Flaire)
MUNIC REPS PRESENT: BLDG INSP. Acc. Lidy.  FIRE INSP. Fic.  ENGINEER  PLANNER  P/B CHMN.  OTHER (Specify)
ITEMS TO BE ADDRESSED ON RESUBMITTAL:
- all home for t gard as pre-exist of also.
- every they is existing
10/23/96 agenda to referral
- To ZBA.



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E.

- ☐ Main Office
  45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W)
  New Windsor, New York 12553
  (914) 562-8640
- ☐ Branch Office 400 Broad Street Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 (717) 296-2765

### PLANNING BOARD WORK SESSION RECORD OF APPEARANCE

	TOWN/VILLAGE OF New WindSov P/B #96-28
	WORK SESSION DATE: 1 MAY 96 APPLICANT RESUB.
	REAPPEARANCE AT W/S REQUESTED: REQUIRED:
ě.	PROJECT NAME: Vietzale /L
	PROJECT STATUS: NEWOLD
	REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT: Frak Pietrale
	MUNIC REPS PRESENT: BLDG INSP.  FIRE INSP. ENGINEER PLANNER P/B CHMN. OTHER (Specify)
	ITEMS TO BE ADDRESSED ON RESUBMITTAL:
+	Olisa Je - Mino
•	
+	Cowe 3 names of LSs
	4MJE91 pbwsform



# TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR 96-

555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553 "XX"

# APPLICATION TO: TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

<b>EXPE</b>	OF APPLICATION (check appropriate item):
Subdi	ivision_X Lot Line Chg Site Plan Spec. Permit
1.	Name of Project 2 Lot Subdivision - Lands of Elaine Pietrzak
2.	Name of Applicant Elaine Pietrzak Phone 562-1503
	Address 7 Steele Road New Windsoe, New York 12553 (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (zip)
3.	Owner of Record Same Phone
	Address (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (zip)
4.	Person Preparing Plan Daniel P. Yanosh L.L.S.
	Address P.O. Box 320 Circleville, New York 10919 (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (zip)
5.	Attorney None Phone
	Address (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (zip)
6.	Person to be notified to represent applicant at Planning Board Meeting Elaine Pietrzak Phone 562-1503
7	(Name)  Project Location: On the South side of Steele Road
, .	(street)
	250 feet East of N.Y.S. Route 207 (direction) (street)
8.	Project Data: Acreage of Parcel 1.21 Zone PI , School Dist. Newburgh
9.	Is this property within an Agricultural District containing a farm operation or within 500 feet of a farm operation located in an Agricultural District? Y N
	If you answer "yes" to question 9, please complete the attached Agricultural Data Statement.

		4	•	00.4	
	Tax Map Designation: Section				
11.	General Description of Project:	Separate the	existing ho	ouse (Lot #	1)
	and the existing 2 Story Garage (Lot	# 2) presently	occupied by	/ D'Amico's	Auto Body
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
12.	Has the Zoning Board of Appeals this property? Yes yes			for	
13.	Has a Special Permit previously property?yes No_no.	been granted	for this	5	
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT:	-		-	
prop	his acknowledgement is completed erty owner, a separate notarized be submitted, authorizing this	statement fr			
STAT	E OF NEW YORK)				
COUN	SS.: TY OF ORANGE)				
cont draw and/ to t	The undersigned Applicant, being es that the information, statemed ained in this application and surings are true and accurate to the or belief. The applicant furthe he Town for all fees and costs a application.	nts and repre pporting docu e best of his r acknowledge	esentation wments and her know es respons	ns l vledge sibility	
Swor /k	n before me this  day of	Charie Applicant's	Signatu	jali	
Du No	boral Jun tary Public	DEBORAH GI Notary Public, State Qualified in Orang # 498406 Commission Expires	of New York ge County		
	**************************************	******	******	*****	
]	RECEIVED OCT 1 6 1996	96-	- 28		
Date	Application Received	Application	on Number		

APPLICANT'S PROXY STATEMENT (for professional representation)

for submittal to the

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

$\Gamma$
Elaine Tietrzak, deposes and says that he
resides at 14 Steele Rd. New Windso
(Applicant's Address)
in the County of Many 12
and State of WY,
and that he is the applicant for the Sub-Division
(Project Name and Description)
which is the premises described in the foregoing application and
that he has authorized Pan Janosh
(Professional Representative)
to make the foregoing application as described therein.
Date: Oct, 16, 1996 Clame Vielle al
(Owner's Signature)
Mura Mason
(Witness' Signature)

THIS FORM CANNOT BE WITNESSED BY THE PERSON OR REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMPANY WHO IS BEING AUTHORIZED TO REPRESENT THE APPLICANT AND/OR OWNER AT THE MEETINGS.

If Applicable "XX"

# TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD SUBDIVISION/LOT LINE CHANGE CHECKLIST

<b>↓</b> •			pplication Form.
	1.	X	_Environmental Assessment Statement
	*2.		Proxy Statement
	3.	X	_Application Fees
	4.	<u> </u>	_Completed Checklist
II.	Subd		hecklist items shall be incorporated on the t prior to consideration of being placed on ard Agenda.
	1.	<u> </u>	_Name and address of Applicant.
	*2.	X	_Name and address of Owner.
	3.	X	_Subdivision name and location.
	4.	X	_Tax Map Data (Section-Block-Lot).
	5.		Location Map at a scale of 1" = 2,000 ft.
	6.	X	Zoning table showing what is required in the particular zone and what applicant is proposing.
	7.	HOME	Show zoning boundary if any portion of proposed subdivision is within or adjacent to a different zone.
	8.	K	_Date of plat preparation and/or date of any plat revisions.
	9.	X	_Scale the plat is drawn to and North Arrow.
	10.		_Designation (in title) if submitted as Sketch Plan, Preliminary Plan or Final Plan.
	11.	<u> </u>	_Surveyor's certification.
	12.		_Surveyor's seal and signature.
*If	appli	cable.	

		20 20
13.	X	Name of adjoining owners.
14.	HONE	_Wetlands and 100 foot buffer zone with an appropriate note regarding D.E.C. requirements.
*15.	NOME	_Flood land boundaries.
16.	- HIA	A note stating that the septic system for each lot is to be designed by a licensed professional before a building permit can be issued.
17.	X	_Final metes and bounds.
18.	<i>\times</i>	Name and width of adjacent streets; the road boundary is to be a minimum of 25 ft. from the physical center line of the street.
19.	<i>\times</i>	_Include existing or proposed easements.
20.	NoHE	_Right-of-Way widths.
21.	MIA	Road profile and typical section (minimum traveled surface, excluding shoulders, is to be 16 ft. wide).
22.		Lot area (in square feet for each lot less than 2 acres).
23.		Number the lots including residual lot.
24.	NONE	_Show any existing waterways.
*25.	MA	A note stating a road (or any other type) maintenance agreement is to be filed in the Town Clerk's Office and County Clerk's Office.
26.	<u>×</u>	Applicable note pertaining to owners' review and concurrence with plat together with owners' signature.
27.	HOHE	_Show any existing or proposed improvements, i.e., drainage systems, waterlines, sewerlines, etc. (including location, size and depths).
28.	<u> </u>	Show all existing houses, accessory structures, existing wells and septic systems within 200 ft. of the parcel to be subdivided.

HIA Show all and proposed on-site "septic" 29. system and well locations; with percolation and deep test locations and information, including date of test and name of professional who performed test. MIA Provide "septic" system design notes as 30. required by the Town of New Windsor. MIA Show existing grade by contour (2 ft. 31. interval preferred) and indicate source of contour data. HIA 32. Indicate percentage and direction of grade. 33. Indicate any reference to previous, i.e., file map date, file map number and previous lot number. Provide 4" wide x 2" high box in area of 34. title block (preferably lower right corner) for use by Planning Board in affixing Stamp of Approval. MIA Indicate location of street or area 35. lighting (if required).

REFERRING TO QUESTION 9 ON THE APPLICATION FORM, "IS THIS PROPERTY WITHIN AN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT CONTAINING A FARM OPERATION OR WITHIN 500 FEET OF A FARM OPERATION LOCATED IN AN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT, PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

36.	·	Referral	to Orang	ge County	Planning	Dept.
		required	for all	applicant	s filing	
		AD Stater	ment.			

A Disclosure Statement, in the form set below must be inscribed on all subdivision maps prior to the affixing of a stamp of approval, whether or not the Planning Board specifically requires such a statement as a condition of approval.

"Prior to the sale, lease, purchase, or exchange of property on this site which is wholly or partially within or immediately adjacent to or within 500 feet of a farm operation, the purchaser or leasor shall be notified of such farm operation with a copy of the following notification.

It is the policy of this State and this community to conserve, protect and encourage the development and improvement of agricultural land for the production of food, and other products, and also for its natural and ecological value. This notice is to inform prospective residents that the property they are about to acquire lies partially or wholly within an agricultural district or within 500 feet of such a district and that farming activities occur within the district. Such farming activities may include, but not be limited to, activities that cause noise, dust and odors."

This list is provided as a guide only and is for the convenience of the Applicant. The Town of New Windsor Planning Board may require additional notes or revisions prior to granting approval.

### PREPARER'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The plat for the proposed subdivision has been prepared in accordance with this checklist and the Town of New Windsor Ordinances, to the best of my knowledge.

Ey: Licensed Professional

Date: 19/3/95

RECEIVED OCT 1 6 1996

14-16-4 (2/87)-Text 12	
PROJECT I.O. NUMBER	

SEQR

Appendix C

# 'State Environmental Quality Review SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

ART I—PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by App	olicant or Project sponsor)
1. APPLICANT SPONSOR	2. PROJECT NAME
Elaine Pietrzak	2 Lot Subdivision - Lands of Elaine Pietrzal
3. PROJECT LOCATION:  Municipality Town of New Windsor	county Orange
Municipality IOWN OT NEW WINGSOF  4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent	
South side of Steele Road 250 ft. Eas	
SOMEN STACE OF SECURE ROLL 200 11, Eas	C OT N. 1. 3. ROUGE 207
5. IS PROPOSED ACTION:	
XXNew Expansion Modification/alteration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:	
	isting 2 story garage which is presently
used as an Auto Boag Shop.	
· ·	
·	
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:	1
initially 1.21 acres Ultimately 1.2	1 acres
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING CR. OTHE	R EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS?
XX Yes	
·	
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?	
Residential Industrial Commercial A	riculture Park/Forest/Open space Ci Other
Describe:	
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL OR FUNCING, NOW	R ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (FEDERAL
STATE OR LOCALI?	
Yes No If yes, list agency(s) and permit/approva	3
Variances from the Town of New Windso	r Zoning Board of Appeals
Subdivision from the Town of New Wind	sor Planning Board
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID F	ERMIT OR APPROVAL?
Yes No If yes, list agency name and permittapproval	
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMITIAPPRI	DVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?
Yes No	THE HEROTILE INCOMINATION.
······································	BOUE IS TOUGHT TO THE BOOT OF MY YNOUN FORE
CENTIFF THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED A	BOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE
Applicant/spensor name Immiel P. Yanosh L.L.	S. Date: 10-3-96
Applicant/sponsor name:	0ate: 10-3-96
// <b>//</b>	
Signature:	
j ,	

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

	R, PART 617,127 If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.
∐Yes ☐No	
WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDE	D FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.67 If No. a negative declaration
may be superseded by another involved agency.	
Tes No	ATTO WITH THE COLL CHANCE A County of the bandwill be it leaded.
COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVENSE EFFECTS ASSOCI C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Exp	IATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten; if legible) quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposible plain briefly:
C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other	natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain brie
·	
C3. Vegetation or launa, flah, shellflah or wildlife species, si	ignificant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly:
·	
C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopte	ed, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain br
C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities ill	kely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly,
C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not i	dentified in C1-C57 Explain briefly.
	•
C7. Other Impacts (including changes in use of either quant	ity or type of energy)? Explain briefly.
IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY REL	ATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
	ATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
	ATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
	ATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
Yes No If Yes, explain briefly	
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To	be completed by Agency)
TIII—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the effect should be assessed in connection with increversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude.	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether It is substantial, large, important or otherwise signific  ts (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration  if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the state of t	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significate (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed.  If more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significated (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  ased on the information and analysis above and any supportin VILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impact
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significate (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately adcressed or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MAI AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significated (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately adcressed or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  ased on the information and analysis above and any supportin VILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impact
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significated (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately adcressed or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  ased on the information and analysis above and any supportin VILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impact
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significate (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed.  If more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA' AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  ased on the information and analysis above and any supporting this in any significant adverse environmental impacts the reasons supporting this determination:
T III—DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified about the same of the sam	be completed by Agency)  ove, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significate (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration if necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately adcressed.  If more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MA' AF and/or prepare a positive declaration.  ased on the information and analysis above and any supporting VILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts the reasons supporting this determination: